ORIJINAL ARAȘTIRMA ORIGINAL RESEARCH

DOI: 10.31609/jpmrs.2021-85092

Patient Compliance and Safety of Intravenous Biological Drug Treatments in Hospital During the COVID-19 Pandemic

COVID-19 Pandemi Sürecinde Hastanede İntravenöz Biyolojik Ajan Tedavilerinin Güvenirliği

¹⁰ Melda ULAŞ GÜNCAN^a, ¹⁰ Melek SEZGİN^ь, ¹⁰ Orhan SEZGİN^c, ¹⁰ Günşah ŞAHİN^b

^aDepartment of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, TURKEY ^bDepartment of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, TURKEY ^cDepartment of Gastroenterology, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, TURKEY

This study was presented as an oral presentation in Turkish Rheumatology E-Congress with International Attendance 2020, 13-15 November 2020, Online.

ABSTRACT Objective: To evaluate compliance and safety of treatments with intravenous (IV) biological drugs in hospital during the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in patients with inflammatory rheumatic or bowel diseases. Material and Methods: The records of patients were retrospectively scanned from the hospital electronic database between 11.03.2020-30.09.2020. The patients with inflammatory rheumatic or bowel diseases who received IV biological therapy were included in the study. Demographic and clinic data, and information about COVID-19 infection were recorded. Results: The mean age of 103 patients included in the study was 45.3 years (minimum-maximum: 18-76 years) and 53 (51.5%) were women. The majority of patients (87 patients, 84.5%) consisted of ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis, ulcerative colitis, and Crohn's disease. During the pandemic period, 77 (74.8%) patients continued routine follow-up, 18 (17.5%) patients extended the interval between visits, and 8 (7.7%) patients stopped follow-up. The biological drugs were switched to another in 12 (11.6%) patients due to secondary unresponsiveness, allergic reaction or unavailable drug. In this period, 6 patients were made COVID-polymerase chain reaction test and it was positive in 2 patients. They were receiving infliximab and rituximab treatment with the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic sclerosis, respectively. They continued the same treatment at the end of COVID-19 treatment. Conclusion: This study showed that most of the patients continued to routine follow-up and treatment, and these treatments did not increase the risk of COVID-19. Therefore, we think that IV biological treatments can be safely used during the pandemic process.

bağırsak hastalıkları olan hastalarda, koronavirüs hastalığı-2019 [coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19)] pandemisi sırasında hastanede intravenöz (IV) biyolojik ilaçların güvenliğini ve tedavi uyumunu değerlendirmektir. Gerec ve Yöntemler: Hastaların kayıtları 11.03.2020-30.09.2020 tarihleri arasında hastane elektronik veri tabanından geriye dönük olarak tarandı. İnflamatuar romatizmal veya bağırsak hastalığı olan ve IV biyolojik tedavi uygulanan hastalar çalışmaya dâhil edildi. Demografik ve klinik verilerle COVID-19 enfeksiyonuna ilişkin bilgiler kaydedildi. Bulgular: Çalışmaya dâhil edilen 103 hastanın yaş ortalaması 45,3 (minimum-maksimum: 18-76 yıl) ve 53'ü (%51,5) kadındı. Hastaların çoğunluğu (87 hasta, %84,5) ankilozan spondilit, romatoid artrit, ülseratif kolit ve Crohn hastalığından oluşuyordu. Pandemi döneminde, 77 (%74,8) hasta rutin takibe devam etti, 18 (%17,5) hasta takip aralığını uzattı ve 8 (%7,7) hasta takibi bıraktı. On iki (%11,6) hastada sekonder yanıtsızlık, alerjik reaksiyon veya ilac bulunamaması nedeniyle biyolojik ajanlar değiştirildi. Bu dönemde, 6 hastaya COVID-polimeraz zincir reaksiyonu [polymerase chain reaction (PCR)] testi yapıldı ve 2 hastada pozitif çıktı. Bunlar sırasıyla romatoid artrit ve sistemik skleroz tanısı ile infliksimab ve rituksimab tedavisi alıvorlardı. COVID-19 tedavisinin sonunda da aynı tedaviye devam ettiler. Sonuc: Bu çalışma, hastaların çoğunun rutin takip ve tedaviye devam ettiğini ve bu tedavilerin COVID-19 riskini artırmadığını göstermiştir. Bu nedenle IV biyolojik tedavilerin pandemi sürecinde güvenle kullanılabileceğini düşünüyoruz.

ÖZET Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı, inflamatuar romatizmal veya

Keywords: Intravenous biological drugs;

COVID-19 pandemic; safety and compliance; inflammatory disease

Anahtar Kelimeler: İntravenöz biyolojik ilaçlar; COVID-19 pandemisi; güvenlik ve uyum; inflamatuar hastalık

Correspondence: Melda ULAŞ GÜNCAN

Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Mersin University Faculty of Medicine, Mersin, TURKEY/TÜRKİYE E-mail: drmeldaulas@gmail.com



Peer review under responsibility of Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Science.

Received: 21 Jun 2021 Accepted: 08 Dec 2021 Ava

d: 08 Dec 2021 *Available online*: 20 Dec 2021

1307-7384 / Copyright © 2020 Turkey Association of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Specialist Physicians. Production and hosting by Türkiye Klinikleri. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Biological agents are widely used in the treatment of both inflammatory rheumatic diseases and inflammatory bowel diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, psoriatic arthritis, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.¹ Both inflammatory diseases and their treatments are associated with an increased infection risk. In particular, biological treatments targeting cytokines involved in this inflammatory process increase the risk of viral, bacterial, and granulomatous infections, but there is no evidence yet that they increase the risk of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19).²⁻⁴

A new coronavirus that caused severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), first detected at the end of 2019 in Hubei province, China. The clinical picture of this respiratory disease, called COVID-19, ranges from asymptomatic and influenza-like illness to severe disease with accompanying lung damage, multi-organ failure, and death. COVID-19, which spread rapidly all over the world, caused a life-threatening and still ongoing pandemic.^{5,6}

Advanced ages and comorbid illnesses increase the risk of this disease. As a result of biological or other immunosuppressive treatments used in inflammatory rheumatic and inflammatory bowel diseases, patients have a risky immune system in terms of COVID-19. Despite this, the risk and prognosis for COVID-19 in patients treated with biological agents are unknown.^{7,8}

On the other hand, research is ongoing on the potential of these agents to improve COVID-19.⁹ Although data on the risks and consequences of COVID-19 infection in patients with inflammatory rheumatic or inflammatory bowel diseases are insufficient, patients continue to use biological immune modulatory agent therapies. Patients and clinicians are still hesitant about these treatments, as there is no evidence-based guideline for biological treatments. However, current guidelines are largely based on expert opinions.⁹⁻¹¹ Considering the prediction that the COVID-19 pandemic process will continue for a while, we think that the short-term results of our patients receiving intravenous (IV) biological treatment in the hospital will contribute to the literature.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The records of 103 patients receiving IV biological drug therapy were scanned retrospectively from the electronic database of Mersin University Medical Faculty Hospital. The medical records of 2 clinics in a single center (Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and Department of Gastroenterology) were evaluated between 11.03.2020, when the first case of COVID-19 has been confirmed, and 30.09.2020. The patients who treated with IV biological agents (infliximab, tocilizumab, rituximab, and vedolizumab) were included in the study. The patients treated with only nonbiological agents or subcutaneous biological agents or under 18 years of age were excluded. The demographic data (age, gender), diagnoses, treatments, laboratory values of the patients, and information about COVID-19 were recorded. The study was approved by Mersin University Local Research Ethics Committee (14.10.2020 and protocol number: 2020/698) and Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey (19.09.2020). The report was conducted in accordance with the Decleration of Helsinki. and written informed consent was obtained.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package SPSS software (Version 25.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). If continuous variables were normal, they were describe as the mean \pm standard deviation [p>0.05 in Kolmogorov-Smirnov test or Shapiro-Wilk (n<30)], and if the continuous variables were not normal, they were described as the median. Comparisons between groups were applied using Student t-test (group: patient and control) or Mann-Whitney U test were used for the data not normally distributed. Pre-post measures data were analyzing Friedman test and Wilcoxson test. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically.

RESULTS

The average age of 103 patients included in the study was 45.3 years (minimum-maximum: 18-76 years) and 50 (48.5%) patients were males, and 53 (51.5%) were females. The diagnosis and treatment informations of the patients have been shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1: Baseline characteristic of the patients.		
		All patients (n=103)
Characteristics		Mean/range n/%
Age (years)		45.3 (18-76)
Females/males		53 (51.5%)/50(48.5%)
Diagnosis		
	Rheumatoid arthritis	27 (26.2%)
	Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis	1 (1.1%)
	Ankylosing spondylitis	31 (30.1%)
	Psoriatic arthritis	3 (2.9%)
	Enteropathic arthritis	7 (6.8%)
	Familial mediterranean fever	1 (1%)
	Systemic sclerosis	1 (1%)
	Behçet's disease	3 (2.9%)
	Ulcerative colitis	15 (14.6%)
	Crohn's disease	14 (13.6%)
Biological therapies		
	Tumor necrosis factor- $lpha$ inhibitor (infliximab)	64 (62.1%)
	Interleukin-6 receptor inhibitor (tocilizumab)	11 (10.7%)
	Monoclonal antibodies	
	Vedolizumab	21 (20.4%)
	Rituximab	7 (6.8%)
COVID-19 symptoms		4 (3.9%)
Diagnosis of COVID-19		2 (1.9%)

While 84 (81.6%) patients were receiving biological therapy for more than 1 year, 9 (8.7%) patients started to receive biological therapy 3 months before the onset of the pandemic and 10 (9.7%) patients during the pandemic period. During the 6months pandemic period, 11 (10.7%) patients 6 times, 13 (12.6%) patients 5 times, 24 (23.3%) patients 4 times, 30 (29.1%) patients 3 times, 10 (9.7%) patients twice, and 15 (14.6%) patients once had taken IV treatments.

In the pandemic period, the biological drugs were switched to another in 12 (11.6%) patients; in 5 due to secondary unresponsiveness to treatment, in 4 due to allergic reaction, and in 3 due to unavailability of the drug. While three of these patients continued treatment with a different IV biological agent, the others continued treatment with subcutaneous biological agents.

Within the study period, 77 (74.8%) patients continued routine follow-up and did not skip followup visits. Eighteen (17.5%) patients prolonged the time between the visits, 6(5.8%) patients have not come for treatment after the initial therapy, and 2 (1.9%) patients have not come for treatment in the last 3 months. Of 26 patients that discontinued routine follow-up, 16 (15.5%) discontinued because of COVID-19 pandemic, 5 (4.8%) because of seasonal relocation, and 5 (4.8%) because of surgical procedure. Laboratory values of the patients, except for hemoglobin (Hb) (p=0.027), did not statistically significant change in the COVID-19 pandemic period compared to before the pandemic; the change in Hb values was clinically not significant (Table 2). In addition, when the patients who continued to follow-up regularly and those who skipped control visits due to the COVID-19 pandemic were compared in terms of laboratory values, no statistically significant difference was determined (Table 3).

In addition to IV biological drugs, 9 (8.7%) patients antiviral agents for hepatitis, 5 (4.9%) patients steroid therapy, 9 patients nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and 38 (36.9%) patients were receiving

TABLE 2: Comparison of laboratory results at the last visit comparison with those before the pandemic.				
	Before (n=103)	Last visit (n=103)	p value	
CRP mg/L	4 (0.1-75.0)	3.2 (0.1-111)	0.061	
ESR mm/h	15 (2-72)	12 (2-57)	0.068	
Hb g/dL	13.7±1.5	13.4±1.5	0.027*	
WBC (x10.e3/u)	8.11 (5.9-14.7)	8.03 (12.3-15.59)	0.976	
PLT (x10.e3/u)	282 (110-765)	289 (116-538)	0.232	
LYMP (x10.e3/u)	2.38 (0.71-5.33)	2.26 (0.48-5.64)	0.345	

CRP: C-reactive protein; ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; Hb: Hemoglobin; WBC: White blood cell; PLT: Platelet; LYMP: Lymphocytes.

TABLE 3: Comparison of laboratory results between regular and non-regular follow-up patients.				
Before last*	Regular (n=77)	Non-regular (n=18)	p value	
CRP mg/L	4.2 (0.1-75.0)	3.0 (0.2-47.0)	0.440	
CRP* mg/L	3.0 (0.1-111.0)	3.9 (0.2-25.4)	0.894	
Hb g/dL	13.7±1.6	13.8±1.3	0.703	
Hb* g/dL	13.5±1.5	13.8±1.4	0.427	
WBC (x10.e3/u)	7.66 (5.9-14.7)	8.66 (1.48-10.42)	0.547	
WBC* (x10.e3/u)	8.00 (12.3-15.5)	8.60 (5.62-10.08)	0.514	
PLT (x10.e3/u)	276 (110-765)	274.5 (143-468)	0.537	
PLT* (x10.e3/u)	278 (116-538)	279 (182-383)	0.784	
ESR mm/h	15 (2-72)	19 (2-61)	0.196	
ESR* mm/h	11 (2-45.7)	13 (3-32)	0.439	
LYMP (x10.e3/u)	2.29 (0.71-5.33)	2.39 (1.13-3.72)	0.585	
LYMP*(x10.e3/u)	2.23 (0.48-4.54)	3.35 (1.68-3.82)	0.159	

CRP: C-reactive protein; HB: Hemoglobin; WBC: White blood cell; PLT: Platelet; ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; LYMP: Lymphocytes.

at least one conventional synthetic-disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (methotrexate, sulfasalazine, leflunomide, or hydroxychloroquine).

During the study period, a total of 6 patients underwent the COVID-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test (2 due to contact history, 3 due to fever, and 1 due to shortness of breath) and the tests of 2 patients were positive. They were receiving infliximab and rituximab treatment with the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic sclerosis, respectively (Table 4). At the end of the isolation period following the COVID-19 treatment, they continued treatment with the same IV biological drugs.

DISCUSSION

The effect of biological agents, which are widely used for the treatment of inflammatory rheumatic or bowel diseases, on the prognosis of COVID-19 is gaining critical importance for the management of treatment. There is yet no completed study focusing on the management of biological agents in the treatment of these diseases over the COVID-19 pandemic process. For this reason, multi-center studies are ongoing both in Turkey and in many other countries to observe the clinical outcomes of the patients using biologic agents. Since the use of anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) is associated with increased risk of infection, patients receiving anti-TNF have been considered in the high-risk group for COVID-19 and related complications.^{12,13} Although the risk of certain viral, bacterial and granulomatous infections is high in the patients receiving anti-TNF, there is yet no evidence that anti-TNF increases the risk for COVID-19.4 Likewise, tocilizumab, vedolizumab and rituximab are known to be associated with increased risk of infection, but there is no evidence that they increase the risk of COVID-19.14,15

		Patient 1	Patient 2
Diagnosis		Rheumatoid arthritis	Systemic sclerosis
Sex		Woman	Woman
Disease severity (last visit)		Pain (mild) DAS28:1.8	Mild
Disease duration		5 years	2 years
Steroid therapy/dose	2	No	Yes/10 mg prednisolone
Biological therapy		Infliximab	Rituximab
Date of infusion befo	ore COVID-19	2.7.2020	9.3.2020
Date of COVID-19 symptom		28.8.2020	22.8.2.2020
Duration between in	fusion and symptom	57 days	158 days
PCR test date		30.8.2020	24.8.2020
Date of infusion after COVID-19		16.10.2020	23.9.2020
Symptoms			
ever		No	Yes
	Non-productive cough	No	Yes
	Sputum	No	No
	Sore throat	No	Yes
	Rhinorrhea	No	Yes
	Anorexia	No	No
	Fatigue	Yes	Yes
	Myalgia	Yes	Yes
	Arthralgia	No	No
	Anosmia	Yes	No
	Headache	Yes	No
	Diarrhea	No	No
	Nausea	No	No
	Vomiting	No	No

DAS28: Disease Activity Score-28; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; CT: Computed tomography.

In the letter written by Duret et al., one of the limited number of publications, it was propounded that using a TNF inhibitor before a viral infection is not associated with severe clinical course of COVID-19.16 However, the role of immune system and immune-modulating therapies on the course of COVID-19 remains debatable.^{17,18} In the letter from New York, Haberman et al. evaluated auto-inflammatory patients diagnosed with COVID 19. In this study, it was emphasized that using biological agents is not associated with poor clinical outcomes of COVID-19.19 A joint study conducted by 2 academic centers from France and Italy reported that 13 of 561 patients with inflammatory bowel disease receiving IV infliximab and vedolizumab were positive for COVID-19, and they emphasized that IV biological

therapy does not enhance the risk of COVID-19.20 Although the present study has larger spectrum of patients, the number of patients was lower because of single-center design of the study. Similarly, our patients have received IV infliximab, vedolizumab and tociluzumab therapy in the hospital; and the range of time was wider. Among the 103 patients we followed, only 6 underwent PCR testing for suspicious COVID-19 and 2 were found positive. The facts that our patients paid strict attention to social isolation, IV treatment in the hospital was performed in another unit separate from clinically positive COVID-19 patients, and healthcare personnel working in COVID-19 clinic were kept out of the follow-up and treatment of these patients can be considered as the reasons for detecting COVID-19 in only 2 patients.

Immunopathogenesis of COVID-19 infection has been associated with cytokine storm. TNF plays a role in the proinflammatory activity during cytokine storm by causing tissue damage, lung injury and shock due to increased vascular escape.^{21,22} In vitro studies have demonstrated that TNF facilitates the interaction between SARS-CoV and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, which is found in the viral entry.^{21,23} Although it has been demonstrated that TNF-alpha inhibitors are not effective in the treatment of septic shock, whether cytokine blocking therapy will be effective in the cytokine storm associated with COVID-19 remains uncertain.24-26 Studies including anti-TNF are ongoing on the ClinicalTrials.gov As the consequence of joint studies such as European League Against Rheumatism-COVID-19 database and COVID-19 global rheumatology assembly in particular, new and more comprehensive evidences will be exposed about the rate and severity of affection of COVID-19 by the use of biological agents.

Cytokine storm including plasma inflammatory cytokines such as interleukins (IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12), TNF-alpha and interferon as well as chemokine is considered to play a role in the pathophysiology of COVID-19. Proinflammatory cytokines including IL-6 are increased in severe and fatal COVID-19 cases and thereby, the use of drugs inhibiting the IL-6 pathway has become a current issue for the prevention and treatment of the disease. Given that IL-6 activates the complement and coagulation system in the pathophysiology of disseminated intravascular coagulation, IL-6 can be considered as a quite critical molecule in cytokine storm. There are randomized and ongoing studies where tocilizumab, an IL-6 pathway inhibitor, is used for the treatment of COVID-19. Nevertheless, published studies suggest that these agents provide no benefit.27-30

Vedolizumab is a human monoclonal antibody that targets $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrin. It inhibits the migration of memory T-lymphocytes to the inflamed gastrointestinal tissue throughout the endothelium by selectively blocking the interaction of $\alpha 4\beta 7$ with mucosal cell adhesion molecule-1. This intestine-selective action has been associated with lower risk of infection as compared to the other biological agents.³¹ This indicates that the risk of COVID-19 infection might be lower with vedolizumab as compared to the other biological agents, but there is no study on this issue.

Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal anti-CD20 antibody licensed for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, microscopic polyangiitis and granulomatosis with polyangiitis. Moreover, it is widely used also for the treatment of other systemic diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjögren syndrome, systemic sclerosis and idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. CD20+ effects B cells and shows non-specific activity on the antibody titers by inducing complement-mediated cytotoxicity.³²

Some studies reported higher risk of infection in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with rituximab as compared to those treated with other biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; however, these data have been retrospectively retrieved from the medical records. Effects of rituximab on the immune system response against SARS-CoV-2 infection have not been clarified yet.33 The first study on rituximab published by Loarce-Martos et al. emphasized that patients receiving rituximab have higher risk of morbidity and mortality.34 In the present study as well, the fact that one of our COVID-19-positive patients has been receiving rituximab appears to support this study. Long-term use of rituximab may impair the preparation of antibody responses to neutralize viral replication.

The continuity of treatment has been affected within this process either as the consequence of different clinical approaches such as postponing the treatment with biologics or expanding the intervals or due to patient-based personal reasons (anxiety, social media, unavailability of drug, etc.). Twenty-tothirty percent of the physicians reported that their patients had experienced an exacerbation or delay in the diagnosis/intervention because of postponed appointments.³⁵ Although the majority of the patients that we followed have remained on their scheduled follow-up, it was observed that some of them had tendency to expand the treatment intervals or discontinue the treatment. During the 6-month pandemic period, while 74.8% of patients have continued follow-up, 17.5% of patients have extended the time between visits, and 7.7% of patients have stopped follow-up. Only 16 (15.5%) patients because of the COVID-19 pandemic have discontinued or skipped follow-up visits.

Earlier studies reported that some of the rheumatologists refrained from starting a new biological agent during pandemic process. In some clinics, biological therapies have been discontinued for a short time.^{35,36} In our clinic, treatments of the patients with biologics have continued and new IV biologic agents have been started.

Studies revealed that face-to-face patient visits have been substantially reduced in many rheumatology clinics, instead the patients were reached via teleconferences, video calls or e-mail.^{37,38} In the present study, tele-visit via teleconference, video or WhatsApp Inc USA was not performed because the patients received their IV therapies in the hospital, and the patients were evaluated by routine face-to-face clinical examination and analysis. In a study conducted in rheumatology clinics, it was reported that the number of examinations decreased by 53%.³⁹ However, SARS-CoV-2 as well can show atypical clinical manifestation due to the immunosuppressant agents widely used in the rheumatology and gastroenterology clinics, or the symptoms may be confused with the symptoms of auto-inflammatory diseases. Inflammatory markers of the patients can increase in either situation, fever response may be suppressed in the patients receiving steroid, or inflammatory markers may not be increased in the patients receiving IL-6 inhibitors. Despite the lacking evidence that the risk of having COVID-19 infection is higher among patients with auto-immune diseases treated with biological agent, such patients still potentially have the high risk of complications.⁴⁰ Therefore, particularly the patients that were diagnosed with auto-immune disease and have been receiving biological therapy should be monitored for COVID more attentively. Routine analysis prior to each session of IV treatment enables close monitoring for the patients receiving IV biological therapy in the hospital.

There has been a problem in the availability of tocilizumab since it has been used during the pan-

demic process. In the study conducted by Batu et al., 8.9% of the rheumatologists reported that they had problem in supplying tocilizumab.³⁵ In the present study as well, some of the patients receiving IV tocilizumab have switched to subcutaneous tocilizumab or another biological agent because of the problems in supplying the drug.

The present study is valuable in terms of evaluating the patients that have received IV biological agent for various indications. The patients' receiving their treatment by day hospitalization provided clinically close monitoring. Clinical, laboratory and contact status of each patient in terms of COVID-19 was assessed prior to each session of treatment. However, PCR screening was not performed routinely as per pandemic management policy, or the patients could not be referred to a private center for PCR testing as it is forbidden.

CONCLUSION

COVID-19 infection rapidly spread all over the world and caused an ongoing and life-threatening pandemic. In this study presented that most of the patients continued to routine follow-up and treatment, and these treatments did not increase the risk of COVID-19. Therefore, we think that IV biological treatments can be safely used during the pandemic process. In addition, we believe that long-term and multi-center studies are needed in the future.

Source of Finance

During this study, no financial or spiritual support was received neither from any pharmaceutical company that has a direct connection with the research subject, nor from a company that provides or produces medical instruments and materials which may negatively affect the evaluation process of this study.

Conflict of Interest

No conflicts of interest between the authors and / or family members of the scientific and medical committee members or members of the potential conflicts of interest, counseling, expertise, working conditions, share holding and similar situations in any firm.

- Burrage DR, Koushesh S, Sofat N. Immunomodulatory drugs in the management of SARS-CoV-2. Front Immunol. 2020;11: 1844. PMID: 32903555; PMCID: PMC74 38578.
- Sepriano A, Kerschbaumer A, Smolen JS, et al. Safety of synthetic and biological DMARDs: a systematic literature review informing the 2019 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79:760-70. PMID: 32033941.
- Monti S, Balduzzi S, Delvino P, et al. Clinical course of COVID-19 in a series of patients with chronic arthritis treated with immunosuppressive targeted therapies. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79:667-8. PMID: 32241793; PMCID: PMC7211079.
- Baddley JW, Cantini F, Goletti D, et al. ES-CMID Study Group for Infections in Compromised Hosts (ESGICH) Consensus Document on the safety of targeted and biological therapies: an infectious diseases perspective (Soluble immune effector molecules [I]: anti-tumor necrosis factor-α agents). Clin Microbiol Infect. 2018;24 Suppl 2:S10-S20. PMID: 29459143.
- Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus infections-more than just the common cold. JAMA. 2020;323:707-8. PMID: 31971 553.
- Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet. 2020;395:565-74. PMID: 32007145; PMCID: PMC7159086.
- Khan N, Patel D, Xie D, et al. Impact of antitumor necrosis factor and thiopurine medications on the development of COVID-19 in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A nationwide veterans administration cohort study. Gastroenterology. 2020;159:1545-6.e1. PMID: 32479823; PMCID: PMC7258834.
- Accortt NA, Bonafede MM, Collier DH, et al. Risk of subsequent infection among patients receiving tumor necrosis factor inhibitors and other disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016;68:67-76. PMID: 26359948.
- Kastritis E, Kitas GD, Vassilopoulos D, et al. Systemic autoimmune diseases, antirheumatic therapies, COVID-19 infection risk and patient outcomes. Rheumatol Int. 2020;40:1353-60. PMID: 32654078; PMCID: PMC7353833.
- Bonfá E, Gossec L, Isenberg DA, et al. How COVID-19 is changing rheumatology clinical practice. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2021;17:11-5. PMID: 33139947; PMCID: PMC7604913.
- 11. Gheita TA, Salem MN, Eesa NN, et al; ECR

REFERENCES

COVID19-Study Group. Rheumatologists' practice during the Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: a survey in Egypt. Rheumatol Int. 2020;40:1599-611. PMID: 32710198; PMCID: PMC7380140.

- Mikuls TR, Johnson SR, Fraenkel L, et al. American College of Rheumatology Guidance for the Management of Rheumatic Disease in Adult Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Version 1. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020; 72:1241-51. PMID: 32349183.
- Brito CA, Paiva JG, Pimentel FN, et al. COVID-19 in patients with rheumatological diseases treated with anti-TNF. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021;80:e62. PMID: 32546603.
- Rutherford AI, Subesinghe S, Hyrich KL, et al. Serious infection across biologic-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for Rheumatoid Arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018;77:905-10. PMID: 29592917.
- Singh S, Facciorusso A, Dulai PS, et al. Comparative risk of serious infections with biologic and/or immunosuppressive therapy in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;18:69-81.e3. PMID: 30876964; PMCID: PMC8011651.
- Duret PM, Sebbag E, Mallick A, et al. Recovery from COVID-19 in a patient with spondyloarthritis treated with TNF-alpha inhibitor etanercept. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79:1251-2. PMID: 32354772; PMCID: PMC7456545.
- Neurath MF. COVID-19 and immunomodulation in IBD. Gut. 2020;69:1335-42. PMID: 32303609; PMCID: PMC7211083.
- Sanchez-Piedra C, Diaz-Torne C, Manero J, et al; BIOBADASER study group. Clinical features and outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic diseases treated with biological and synthetic targeted therapies. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79:988-90. PMID: 32503857; PMCID: PMC7307213.
- Haberman R, Axelrad J, Chen A, et al. Covid-19 in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases-case series from New York. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:85-8. PMID: 32348641; PMCID: PMC7204427.
- Peyrin-Biroulet L, Danese S. More on Covid-19 in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:796. PMID: 32649069.
- Tay MZ, Poh CM, Rénia L, et al. The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020;20:363-74. PMID: 32346093; PMCID: PMC7187672.
- 22. Li G, Fan Y, Lai Y, et al. Coronavirus infections and immune responses. J Med Virol.

2020;92:424-32. PMID: 31981224; PMCID: PMC7166547.

- Haga S, Yamamoto N, Nakai-Murakami C, et al. Modulation of TNF-alpha-converting enzyme by the spike protein of SARS-CoV and ACE2 induces TNF-alpha production and facilitates viral entry. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105:7809-14. PMID: 18490652; PMCID: PMC2409424.
- Fisher CJ Jr, Agosti JM, Opal SM, et al. Treatment of septic shock with the tumor necrosis factor receptor:Fc fusion protein. The Soluble TNF Receptor Sepsis Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1996;334:1697-702. PMID: 8637514.
- Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al; HLH Across Speciality Collaboration, UK. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet. 2020;395:1033-4. PMID: 32192578; PMCID: PMC72700 45.
- Feldmann M, Maini RN, Woody JN, et al. Trials of anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy for COVID-19 are urgently needed. Lancet. 2020;395:1407-9. PMID: 32278362; PMCID: PMC7158940.
- Conti P, Ronconi G, Caraffa A, et al. Induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 and IL-6) and lung inflammation by Coronavirus-19 (COVI-19 or SARS-CoV-2): anti-inflammatory strategies. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2020;34:327-31. PMID: 32171193.
- Zhang C, Wu Z, Li JW, et al. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19: interleukin-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab may be the key to reduce mortality. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;55:105954. PMID: 32234467; PMCID: PMC7118634.
- Reuters [Internet]. ©2021 Reuters [Cited:]. Roche provides an update on the phase III COVACTA trial of Actemra/RoActemra in hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19 associated pneumonia. 02.03.2021 Available from: https://www.reuters.com/article/ushealth-coronavirus-roche-hldg/roche-rheumatoid-arthritis-drug-fails-to-help-covid-19-patient s-in-italian-study-idUSKBN2303GG "Cited"
- Guaraldi G, Meschiari M, Cozzi-Lepri A, et al. Tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol. 2020;2:e474-e84. Erratum in: Lancet Rheumatol. 2020;2:e591. PMID: 32835257; PMCID: PMC7314456.
- Ng SC, Hilmi IN, Blake A, et al. Low frequency of opportunistic infections in patients receiving vedolizumab in clinical trials and post-marketing setting. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2018; 24:2431-41. PMID: 30312414; PMCID: PMC6185254.

- Pateinakis P, Pyrpasopoulou A. CD20+ B cell depletion in systemic autoimmune diseases: common mechanism of inhibition or diseasespecific effect on humoral immunity? Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:973609. PMID: 24791010; PMCID: PMC3985174.
- Kow CS, Hasan SS. Use of rituximab and the risk of adverse clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients with systemic rheumatic disease. Rheumatol Int. 2020;40:2117-8. PMID: 33044704; PMCID: PMC7548404.
- Loarce-Martos J, García-Fernández A, López-Gutiérrez F, et al. High rates of severe disease and death due to SARS-CoV-2 infection in rheumatic disease patients treated with rituximab: A descriptive study. Rheumatol Int. 2020;40:2015-21. PMID: 32945944; PMCID:

PMC7499013.

- Batu ED, Lamot L, Sag E, et al. How the COVID-19 pandemic has influenced pediatric rheumatology practice: Results of a global, cross-sectional, online survey. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2020;50:1262-8. PMID: 33065421; PMCID: PMC7833192.
- Gupta L, Misra DP, Agarwal V, et al. Management of rheumatic diseases in the time of covid-19 pandemic: perspectives of rheumatology practitioners from India. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021;80:e1. PMID: 32299795.
- Ziadé N, Hmamouchi I, El Kibbi L, et al. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on rheumatology practice: a cross-sectional multinational study. Clin Rheumatol. 2020;39:3205-13.

PMID: 32996071; PMCID: PMC7524572.

- Krusche M, Mühlensiepen F, Aries P, et al. Telemedizin in der rheumatologie [Telemedicine in rheumatology]. Z Rheumatol. 2020;79:883-92. German. PMID: 33057786; PMCID: PMC7557255.
- Akintayo RO, Akpabio AA, Kalla AA, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on rheumatology practice across Africa. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021;60:392-8. PMID: 33020845; PMCID: PMC7665741.
- Cai K, He J, Wong PK, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on rheumatology clinical practice and university teaching in Sydney, Australia. Eur J Rheumatol. 2020;7:S91-S3. PMID: 32352360; PMCID: PMC7431339.