FIZIKSEL TIP

HIP INVOLVEMENT IN RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

ROMATOİD ARTRİTTE KALÇA TUTULUMU

Akın ERDAL MD* Suat EREN MD** Kazım ŞENEL MD*

* Atatürk Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Fiziksel Tıp ve Rehabilitasyon ABD, ERZURUM

** Atatürk Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Radyoloji ABD, ERZURUM

SUMMARY

This study was performed to investigate hip involvement in 40 patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The relationship between hip joint damage and disease duration, disease activity, physical disability index were evaluated. Anteroposterior pelvis radiographs of the patients were taken and evaluated by a radiologist according to the Larsen score. The disease duration was significantly longer in patients with hip involvement (p<0.05) and disease activity parameters such as duration of morning stiffness, pain, Ritchie Articular Index, *C*-reactive protein (*CRP*) and physical disability index such as Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) values were also significantly bigher in patients with hip involvement (p<0.05). We conclude that patients with high disease activity and physical disability index have to be monitorized about hip involvement.

Key Words: Rheumatoid arthritis, hip involvement

ÖZET

Bu çalışma romatoid artritli 40 hastada, kalça tutulumunu incelemek için yapıldı. Eklem hasarı ile hastalık süresi, hastalık aktivitesi ve fiziksel özürlülük derecesi arasındaki ilşkiler incelendi. Hastaların anteroposterior pelvis grafileri bir radyolog tarafından incelendi ve Larsen sınıflamasına göre skorlandı. Hastalık süresi kalça tutulumu olan hastalarda daha uzun idi (p<0.05). Yine sabah tutukluğu, ağrı, Ritchie Eklem İndeksi ve C-reaktif protein (CRP) düzeyleri gibi hastalik aktivite göstergeleri ve Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) gibi fiziksel özürlülük dereceleri kalça tutulumu olan hasta grubunda anlamlı olarak daha fazla idi. Sonuç olarak yüksek bastalık aktivitesi ve disabilite değerlerine sabip bastalar kalça tutulumu açısından iyi takip edilmelidirler. **Anabtar kelimeler:** Romatoid artrit, kalça tutulumu

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disease of unknown etiology characterized by symmetric, erosive synovitis and sometimes multisystem involvement. It affects 1% of the adult population and exhibits a chronic fluctuating course which may result in progressive joint destruction, deformity, disability and premature death (1).

The hip joint may be affected in 15% to 28% of all patients with rheumatoid arthritis. There are also articles reporting up to %50 hip involvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Radiographic evidence of involvement includes periarticular osteopenia, cystic changes, and a variable amount of progressive protrusio acetabuli (2).

In this study we studied the involvement of the hip joint in a group of 40 patients with RA and the relationship between hip joint damage and disease duration, disease activity and physical disability index.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was performed in 40 patients with a diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis according to American Rheumatism association (ARA) criteria (mean age 52,71±10,49 years; range 32-74 years; 7 men, 33 women) (3). Patients had been treated with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and second line anti-rheumatic drugs.

Anteroposterior pelvis radiographs of the patients were taken and evaluated by a radiologist according to Larsen's Standart Radiographs with a grading of 0-5 for the hip joint.

Grade 0, normal conditions: Abnormalities not related to arthritis, such as marginal bone deposition;

Grade 1, slight abnormalitiy: One or (more) of the following lesion is present: Periarticular soft tissue swelling, periarticular osteoporosis and slight joint space narrowing; Grade 2, definite abnormality: Small erosions are present in the finger and toe joints. Joint space narrowing is not obligatory in these joints. In the large joints, joint space narrowing must be present, erosions are not obligatory;

Grade 3, marked abnormality: Erosions and joint space narrowing must be present;

Grade 4, severe abnormality: The original articular surfaces are still partly preserved;

Grade 5, mutilating abnormality: The original articular surfaces have disappeared. Gross deformation is present (4).

Patients with grade 0 were divided as Group 1 (no hip involvement) and patients with grade 1,2,3,4 and 5 were divided as Group 2 (hip involvement). Bilateral hips were evaluated and the hip with higher Larsen score was considered as determinant of the patients' group.

Clinical and laboratory measurements were performed for the assessment of disease activity, including the duration of morning stiffness (minutes), pain (visual analog scale 0-10), Ritchie Articular Index (0-78), Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/L).

Group 1 and 2 were compared according to these parameters. Statistical analysis was performed using Independent Samples t test.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the radiological characteristics of patients with and without hip involvement. 23 (57,5%) patients were evaluated as Grade 0; 5 (12,5%) patients were as Grade 1; 4 (10%) were as Grade 2; 6 (%15) patients were as Grade 3; 2 (5%) patients as Grade 4.

Table 1. The radiological characteritics of patients with and without hip involvement

| GROUPS | Larsen grade | Number of patients (%) |
|---------|--------------|------------------------|
| GROUP 1 | Grade 0 | 23(57,5) |
| GROUP 2 | Grade 1 | 5(12,5) |
| | Grade 2 | 4(10) |
| | Grade 3 | 6(15) |
| | Grade 4 | 2(5) |
| | Grade 5 | 0(0) |

Table 2 presents the clinical characteristics of patients with and without hip involvement. The disease duration was signi-

ficantly longer in patients with hip involvement (p<0.05) and also disease activity parameters such as duration of morning stiffness, pain, Ritchie Articular Index, and CRP and physical disability index such as HAQ values were significantly higher in patients with hip involvement (p<0.05).

Table 2. Comparison of clinical parameters of Group 1(with hip involvement) and

 Group 2 (without hip involvement)

| | GROUP1 | GROUP2 | P value |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------|
| | Mean±SD | Mean±SD | |
| Duration of morning stiffness (minutes) | 76.8±36.82 | 128.5±53.98 | p<0.05 |
| Pain (visual analog scala 0-10) | 6.04±1.42 | 7.42±1.39 | p<0.05 |
| Ritchie Articular Index(0-78) | 34.29±13.18 | 46.00±11.52 | p<0.05 |
| HAQ | 1.29±0.51 | 1.70±0.14 | p<0.05 |
| CRP (mg/L) | 21.52±12.67 | 37.39±10.73 | p<0.05 |
| Duration of disease (months) | 52.92±35.98 | 145.20±110.04 | p<0.05 |

DISCUSSION

The consequences of long-term rheumatoid arthritis (RA) can be described in terms of destruction, impairment, disability and handicap. Joint destruction as measured by radiographs is objective and determined principally by the biological process underlying RA. Radiographs are therefore often used in clinical studies as a measure of joint destruction and disability. Radiographic damage appears early in RA and progression is continuous during the first two decades of the course of disease (5-7). Like the radiographic damage in hands and feet, damage to the large joints occurs early in the disease and shows progression over the years

Radiographic damage to the large joints has been investigated in a limited number of studies (8-9). One prospective followup study found abnormalities of at least one large joint in 50% of the patients after 6 years follow-up (10). There are also follow-up studies reported the presence of large joint prosthesis in RA (10-12). In these three studies, respectively, a total hip prosthesis was found in 13 and 6% of the patients after 6 years of follow-up and in 8.3% after 15 years follow-up. The most frequently affected joints were the large joints of the upper extremity and the knees. Similar frequencies of involvement of the individual large joints were found in two studies of the long-term radiographic damage of the large joints, which showed the hips and ankles to be the least frequently affected (8-9). The hip joint may be affected in 15% to 28% of all patients with rheumatoid arthritis. However there are also articles reporting up to 50% of hip involvement in rheumatoid arthritis

Since radiological joint damage represents an accumulation of past disease activity, the disease duration was significantly longer in patients with hip involvement in our study (p<0.05).

The studies about the relation between radiological scores and disability measures are controversial. Disability has been found to be associated with disease activity, radiological damage and psychological factors (13-17). Large joint damage has been found to be an important determinant of functional capacity. However in another study, a weak correlation (r = 0.38) was found between large joint involvement and the HAQ score after 6 years of follow-up (10).

Correlations between radiological progression and time integrated values of disease activity measures have been found to be rather strong, especially between joint inflammation and ESR and CRP level.

We found significantly higher disease activity and physical disability index values in patients with hip involvement (p<0.05). So we suggest that patients with high disease activity and physical disability index have to be monitorized about hip involvement

REFERENCES

- Guidelines for RA Management. ACR Clinical Guidelines Committee. Arthritis Rheum. 1996; 39:5: 713-722
- Lachiewicz PF. Rheumatoid arthritis in the hip. Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic surgeons. 1997; 5:6.
- Arnett FC, Edworty SM, Bloch DA et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 1988; 31: 315-24.
- 4. Larsen A , Dale K, Eek M. Radiographic evaluation of rheumatoid arthritis and related conditions by standard reference films. Acta Radiol Diagn. 1977; 18:481-491.
- Fries JF, Spitz P, Kraines RG, Holman HR. Measurement of patient outcome in arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1980; 23: 137–45.
- Plant MJ, Jones PW, Saklatvala J, Ollier WE, Dawes PT. Patterns of radiological progression in early rheumatoid arthritis: Results of an 8 year prospective study. J Rheumatol 1998;25:417–26.

- Kaarela K, Kautiainen H. Continuous progression of radiological destruction in seropositive rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 1997;24:1285–7.
- Scott DL, Coulton BL, Popert AJ. Long term progression of joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1986;45:373–88.
- De Carvalho A, Graudal H, Jorgensen B. Radiologic evaluation of the progression of rheumatoid arthritis. Acta Radiol Diagn (Stockh)1980;21:115–21.
- Kuper HH, van Leeuwen MA, van Riel PL et al. Radiographic damage in large joints in early rheumatoid arthritis: Relationship with radiographic damage in hands and feet, disease activity, and physical disability. Br J Rheumatol 1997;36:855–60.
- Van der Heijde D, van't Hof M, van Riel PL et al. Judging disease activity in clinical practice in rheumatoid arthritis: First step in the development of a disease activity score. Ann Rheum Dis 1990;49:916–20.
- Riemsma RP, Taal E, Rasker JJ, Houtman PM, van PH, Wiegman O. Evaluation of a Dutch version of the AIMS2 for patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Br J Rheumatol 1996; 35:755–60.
- Drossaers-Bakker KW, de Buck M, van Zeben D, Zwinderman AH, Breedveld FC, Hazes JMW. Long term course and outcome of functional capacity in rheumatoid arthritis: The effect of disease activity and radiological damage over time. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:1854–60.
- Guillemin F, Suurmeijer T, Krol B et al. Functional disability in early rheumatoid arthritis: Description and risk factors. J Rheumatol 1994;21:1051–5.
- Smedstad LM, Kvien TK, Moum T, Vaglum P. Life events, psychosocial factors and demographic variables in early rheumatoid arthritis: Relations to one year changes in functional disability. J Rheumatol 1995; 22:2218–25.
- Leigh JP, Fries JF. Predictors of disability in a longitudinal sample of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 1992;51:581–7.
- Van Leeuwen MA, van der Heijde DM, van Rijswijk MH et al. Interrelationship of outcome measures and process variables in early rheumatoid arthritis. A comparison of radiologic damage, physical disability, joint counts, and acute phase reactants. J Rheumatol 1994; 21:425–9.

- K. W. Drossaers-Bakker, H. M. Kroon1, A. H. Zwinderman2, F. C. Breedveld and J. M. W. Hazes. Radiographic damage of large joints in long-term rheumatoid arthritis and its relation to function. Rheumatology 2000; 39: 998-1003.
- Van Der Heide A, Remme CA, Hofman DM, Jacobs JWG; Bijlsma JW. Prediction of progression of radiological damage in newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38:10:1466-74.

YAZIŞMA ADRESİ

Akın ERDAL Atatürk Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Fiziksel Tıp ve Rehabilitasyon Anabilim Dalı 25240, ERZURUM Tel: 0.442 233 11 22 Fax: 0.442 236 13 01 E-mail : aerdal67@hotmail.com